

FILED

14 NOV 26 PM 2:23

KING COUNTY  
SUPERIOR COURT CLERK  
E-FILED

CASE NUMBER: 14-2-27901-9 SEA

The Honorable Laura Inveen

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

LFP COVE, LLC

Plaintiff,

vs.

KING COUNTY, et al.

Defendants.

No. 14-2-27901-9 SEA

DEFENDANT KING COUNTY'S  
ANSWER AND COUNTER CLAIM TO  
COMPLAINT TO QUIET TITLE

COMES NOW Defendant KING COUNTY, and in answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint,  
admits, denies and alleges as follows:

**1. IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES/JURISDICTION**

1.1 King County lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity  
of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1.1 of Plaintiff's Complaint, and therefore the  
allegations are denied.

1.2. Admitted.

**2. RIGHT OF WAY/PLAINTIFF'S PROPERTY**

2.1 King County admits that upon information and belief, a Mr. George Hill  
conveyed property in portions of Government Lot 4, Section 15, Township 26 North, Range 4

1 East, to the Seattle Lake Shore and Eastern Railway Company. King County lacks sufficient  
2 information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in  
3 paragraph 2.1 of Plaintiff's Complaint, therefore the allegations are denied.

4 2.2 King County admits that the Interstate Commerce Commission granted  
5 Burlington Northern, the successor to the Seattle Lake Shore and Eastern Railway Company,  
6 authority to abandon railroad operations in approximately 1972. All other or different  
7 allegations are denied.

8 2.3 King County admits that Burlington Northern conveyed all of its interest in the  
9 right of way to King County in approximately 1974. All other or different allegations are denied.

10 2.4 King County lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity  
11 of the allegation that Plaintiff is the owner of the parcel in King County legally described on  
12 Exhibit No. 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint. All other or remaining allegations are denied.

13 2.5 Paragraph 2.5 has been OMITTED from Plaintiff's Complaint.

14 2.6 King County denies that Plaintiff has any interest in any King County property  
15 described in Paragraph 2.6 of Plaintiff's Complaint. King County lacks sufficient information  
16 and belief as to the description of the property claimed by Plaintiff and therefore the remaining  
17 allegations are denied.

18

19 **3. FEE INTEREST IN RIGHT OF WAY/ADVERSE POSSESSION**

20 3.1 Denied.

21 3.2 Denied

22 //

23 //

